

Case Study: Visual Servoing on Repetitive Structures

- Application: vision-based inkjet printing for OLED display manufacturing.
- Control Goal: printer head shoots chemical droplets on pixel centers.
- Mechanical Design: fixed camera and printer head, moving OLED wafer.
- Vision Processing: detecting centers of pixels on the OLED wafer.
- Control Algorithm: open-loop tracking or closed-loop trajectory generation.
- Experiment Setup





Vision Pipeline For Detecting Pixel Centers of OLED Wafer Using a Small Region-Of-Interest (ROI) Image Properties: **Exposure:** 400 μ s under strong lighting

wafer

- Region-Of-Interest: 120×45 pixels
- Quantization: 8-bit gray scale

Bottleneck Analysis – The Diminishing Return of Faster Vision Processing



Bottlenecks and Tradeoffs in High Frame Rate Visual Servoing: A Case Study Zhenyu Ye, Yifan He, Roel Pieters, Bart Mesman, Henk Corporaal, Pieter Jonker Mechanical Engineering and Electrical Engineering, Eindhoven University of Technology

1000 Frames-per-Second (fps) Vision on FPGA – Hardware Architecture, Resource Utilization, and Timing Analysis



Analysis Cases

- Industrial Camera: SVS-VISTEK svs340MUCP.
- Camera Interface: CameraLink.
- Processing System: Xilinx Virtex-II FPGA.

Without lost of generality, we also apply the same analysis to variant types of industrial cameras, camera interfaces, and FPGA-based processing systems. We found similar scaling. Key Observations

- Frame rate, for small image, is limited by exposure time
- Frame rate, for large image, is limited by image read out
- Delay is dominated by exposure and read out
- Vision processing on repetitive structures is neither the bottleneck of frame rate nor the bottleneck of delay

Future Trend

- Vision processing scales well with parallel hardware.
- An enlarging gap between industrial standard camera interface and vision processing.
- The diminishing return of faster vision processing.



Understanding The Tradeoffs: Frame Rate (1/fps), Delay and Control Performance



Otsu optimum thresholding to handle lighting variation

Projection of segmented features for fast center localization

Simulating The Design Space

- Control Task: tracking the pixel centers of the moving wafer.
- Control Performance: measured as the maximum tracking errors of the pixel centers.
- Tracking Algorithm: linear predictor, tuned at 1000 fps.

Without lost of generality, we simulate different motion profiles. We found similar tradeoffs. Key Observations

At high frame rate (above 1000 fps),

- The control performance is more sensitive on delay other than frame rate.
- Delay, not frame rate, gives embedded solution most advantage over PC solution.

Future Work

A Cyber-Physical System Approach to Embedded Visual Servoing